arXiv:1703.08302v1 [math.DG] 24 Mar 2017

SPIN-STRUCTURES ON REAL BOTT MANIFOLDS WITH KÄHLER STRUCTURE

A. GĄSIOR

Abstract. Let M be a real Bott manifold with Kähler structure. Using Ishida characterization [9] we give necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the Spin-structure on M. In proof we use the technic developed in [12] and characteristic classes.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a fundamental group of a real Bott manifold M. From [10] we know that Γ defines a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_2^k \to 1. \tag{1}$$

However above we have a induced holonomy representation $\varrho : \mathbb{Z}_2^k \to GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$

$$\varrho(g)(z) = \iota(\gamma\iota(z)\gamma^{-1})$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k$, $\pi(\gamma) = g$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\varrho(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k \subset D = \{A = (a_{ij}) \in GL(n,\mathbb{Z}) | a_{ij} = 0, i \neq j, a_{ii} = \pm 1, 1 \leq i,j \leq n\}$.

It is well known, ([10]), that M is determined by a certain matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$, where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. We call A a Bott matrix and we shall denote the manifold M by $M(A)$. In [9] Ishida gave the following necessary and sufficient condition for existence of the Kähler structure on $M(A)$.

Theorem 1.1. ([9] Theorem 3.1) *Let A be $2n-$dimensional matrix of real Bott manifold $M(A)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *there exist n subsets $\{j_1, j_{n+1}\}$, ..., $\{j_n, j_{2n}\}$ of $\{1,2,\ldots,2n\}$ such that*
   (a) $\coprod_{k=1}^{n}\{j_k, j_{k+n}\} = \{1,2,\ldots,2n\}$,
   (b) $A^{j_k} = A^{j_{k+n}}$ *for all $1 \leq i < j_k$, where A^k is the $k-$th column of the matrix A.*
2. *there exist a Kähler structure on $M(A)$.*

In this note we are going to examine the existence of the Spin structure on a real Bott manifold with a Kähler structure. We would like to mention that the general condition for existence of Spin structure is considered in ([6], [4]). However we use different new methods which we find interesting. We use different definition of the real Bott manifold which we introduce at the section 2. In the section 3 we prove our main result.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C27; Secondary 53C29, 53B35, 20H15.
Key words and phrases. real Bott manifolds, Spin structure, Kähler structure
Author is supported by the Polish National Science Center grant DEC-2013/09/B/ST1/04125.

2. New definition of real Bott manifold

In this section we recall methods introduced in [12] and developed in [11]. Let S^1 be a unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$ and we consider authomorphisms $g_i : S^1 \to S^1$ given by

$$g_0(z) = z, \;\; g_1(z) = -z, \;\; g_2(z) = \bar{z}, \;\; g_3(z) = -\bar{z}, \tag{2}$$

for all $z \in S^1$. We can identify S^1 with $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and for each $[t] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$g_0([t]) = [t], \;\; g_1([t]) = \left[t + \frac{1}{2}\right], \;\; g_2([t]) = [-t], \;\; g_3([t]) = \left[-t + \frac{1}{2}\right]. \tag{3}$$

Let $D = \langle g_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\rangle$. Then $D \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $g_3 = g_1 g_2$. We define an action D^n on T^n by

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_n)(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (t_1 z_1, \ldots, t_n z_n) \tag{4}$$

for $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in D^n$ and $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in T^n = \underbrace{S^1 \times \ldots \times S^1}_{n}$.

Any subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \sqsubseteq D^n$ defines $(d \times n)-$matrix with entries in D which defines a matrix P with entries in the set $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ under the identification $i \leftrightarrow g_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

We have the following characterisation of the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ on T^n and the associated orbit space $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ via the matrix P. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq D^n$ and $P \in S^{d\times n}$. Then the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ on T^n is free if and only if there is 1 in the sum of any distinct collection of rows of P. Group $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is the holonomy group of $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ if and only if there is either 2 or 3 in each row of P.

Let us consider the epimorphisms $\alpha, \beta : D \to \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ where values of α and β on D are given by

	0	1	2	3
α	0	1	1	0
β	0	1	0	1

.

For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq D^n$ we define epimorphisms

$$\alpha_j : \mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq D^n \xrightarrow{pr_j} D \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2, \;\; \beta_j : \mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq D^n \xrightarrow{pr_j} D \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{F}_2 \tag{5}$$

by $\alpha_j(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \alpha(t_j)$, $\beta_j(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \beta(t_j)$. Using definitions of α and β and the translations given by (3), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. ([11]) *Suppose a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq D$ acts free on T^n. Then a holonomy representation $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_2^d \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ of the flat manifold $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is given by*

$$\varphi(x) = \mathrm{diag}\left[(-1)^{(\alpha_1+\beta_1)(x)}, \ldots, (-1)^{(\alpha_n+\beta_n)(x)}\right],$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d$.

Since $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ we can view α_i and β_i as 1-cocycles and define

$$\theta_j = \alpha_j \cup \beta_j \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2), \tag{6}$$

where $\cup$ denotes the cup product. It is well known that $H^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence, elements α_j and β_j correspond to

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha(pr_j(b_i))x_i, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta(pr_j(b_i))x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d[x_1, \ldots, x_d], \tag{7}$$

where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$ ([2], Proposition 1.3). Moreover, from the definition of matrix $P \in S^{d\times n}$ we can write equations (6)

and (7) as follows

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha(P_{ij})x_i, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta(P_{ij})x_i, \quad \theta_j^P = \alpha_j\beta_j. \tag{8}$$

There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

where d_2 is the transgression and π^* is induced by the quotient map $\pi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_2^d$, [3].

Proposition 2.1. ([11]) *Suppose $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ acts freely and diagonally on T^n. Let $M = T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ and consider the associated to the group extension of (1). Then*

1. *$\forall_{1\le l\le n}\ \theta_l = d_2(\varepsilon_l)$, where $\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$ is the basis of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ dual to the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$,*
2. *the total Stiefel-Whitney class of M is*

$$w(M) = \pi^*\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + \alpha_j + \beta_j)\right) \in H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(M, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

One can see by part (1) of Proposition 2.1 that the image of differential d_2 is an ideal generated by θ_j and

$$\langle \mathrm{Im}(d_2)\rangle = \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n.]$$

For matrix $P \in S^{d\times n}$, using (8), we set $\mathrm{Id}_P = \langle \theta_1^P, \ldots, \theta_n^P\rangle$ and we call this the *characteristic ideal* of P. The quotient $C_P = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]/\mathrm{Id}_P$ we call *characteristic algebra* of P.

Corollary 2.1. ([11]) *Suppose $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ acts freely and diagonally on T^n. There is a canonical homomorphism of graded algebras $\Phi : C \to H^*(T^n, \mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $\Phi([w]) = w(T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d)$ where $[w]$ is the class of*

$$w = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + \alpha_j + \beta_j) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, Φ is a monomorphism in degree less that or equal to two.

Definition 2.1. Given a matrix $P \in S^{d\times n}$, we define the Stiefel-Whitney class of P, to be the class $[w] \in C_P$ defined by (9).

Corollary 2.2. ([11]) *Suppose $P \in S^{d\times n}$ is free and $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is the corresponding flat manifold. Then $\Phi(w(P)) = w(T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d)$.*

Now, we describe a real Bott manifold $M(A)$. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an strictly upper triangular matrix with entries 0 or 1 and let s_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be Euclidean motions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$s_i = \left(\mathrm{diag}\,[1, \ldots, 1, (-1)^{a_{i,i+1}}, \ldots, (-1)^{a_{i,n}}], \left(0, \ldots, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \ldots, 0\right)^T\right) \tag{10}$$

where $(-1)^{a_{i,i+1}}$ is at the $(i+1, i+1)$ position and $\frac{1}{2}$ is the $i-$th coordinate of the column, $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$. $s_n = \left(I, \left(0, 0, ..., 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \in E(n)$. The group $\Gamma(A)$ generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ is crystallographic group. The subgroup generated by $s_1^2, s_2^2, ..., s_n^2$ consists of all transitions by $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The action of $\Gamma(A)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is free and the orbit space $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma(A)$ is compact.

3. Main results

We know that s_i ([10]) are generators of the crystallographic group $\Gamma(A)$. Using the same methods as in [12], [11], for each strictly upper triangular matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ which generates the fundamental group of real Bott manifold $M(A)$ we have $P-$matrix $P_A = [p_{ij}]$ with diagonal entries 1, entries 0 or 2 in the upper triangular part and entries 0 in the lower triangular part. Since $\beta(0) = \beta(2) = 1$ and $\beta(1) = 1$ we get

$$\beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta\left(p_{ij}\right) x_i = \beta\left(p_{jj}\right) x_j = x_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Now, $\alpha(0) = 0$, $\alpha(1) = \alpha(2) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_j + \beta_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha\left(p_{ij}\right) x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \beta(p_{ij}) x_i = \sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{j-1} \alpha(p_{ij}) x_i + \sum_{i=1, i=j}^{j-1} \left(\alpha(p_{ij}) + \beta(p_{ij})\right) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{j-1} \alpha(p_{ij}) x_i + \left(\alpha(p_{jj}) + \beta(p_{jj})\right) x_j = \sum_{i\neq j} \alpha(p_{ij}) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} b_{ji} x_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $b_{ji} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j-1$. So, we get

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} x_j, \tag{11}$$

where $b_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, i-1$.

Now, let us consider a real Bott manifold with a Kähler structure. We will denote this manifold by RBK manifold. Let the column P^k of matrix P_A has $p_{kk} = 1$ and all others entries equal to 0. Then

$$\alpha_k = x_k = \beta_k,$$

so $x_k^2 \in \mathrm{Id}_{P_A}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let P_A be a $P-$matrix of $2n-$dimensional RBK manifold $M(A)$. Then*

$$w_2(M(A)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(b_{j_1 i} + b_{j_2 i} + \ldots + b_{j_k i}\right) x_i^2 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$$

and $M(A)$ has a Spin-structure if and only if either $\left(b_{j_1 i} + b_{j_2 i} + \ldots + b_{j_k i}\right) = 0 \mod 2$ or $x_i^2 \in \mathrm{Id}_{P_A}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. $M(A)$ is $2n-$dimensional RBK manifold, so from (11) we get

$$\alpha_{j_{k+n}} + \beta_{j_{k+n}} = \alpha_{j_k} + \beta_{j_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{j_k i} x_i,$$

where $b_{j_k i} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j_k - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}w(M(A)) &= \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \left(1 + \alpha_{j_k} + \beta_{j_k}\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \alpha_{j_k} + \beta_{j_k}\right)^2 \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j_k - 1} b_{j_k i} x_i\right)^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j_k - 1} b_{j_k i} x_i^2\right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(b_{j_1 i} + b_{j_2 i} + \ldots + b_{j_n i}\right) x_i^2 + \ldots \ .\end{aligned}$$

From the above consideration we have

$$w_2(M(A)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_{j_1 i} + b_{j_2 i} + \ldots + b_{j_n i})\, x_i^2.$$

It is well known that the manifold $M(A)$ has the Spin-structure if $w_2(M(A)) = 0$. In our case

$$w_2(M(A)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_{j_1 i} + b_{j_2 i} + \ldots + b_{j_n i})\, x_i^2 = 0$$

if either $(b_{j_1 i} + b_{j_2 i} + \ldots + b_{j_n i}) = 0 \mod 2$ or $x_i^2 \in \mathrm{Id}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. □

At the end we consider a special case of RBK manifold.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M(A)$ be a RBK manifold with matrix P. Let k be an even number and let $P^{i_1} = P^{i_2} = \ldots = P^{i_{2k}}$ be columns with nonzero entries and all others columns of matrix P have only 0 entries. Then the manifold $M(A)$ has the Spin-structure.*

Proof. Since $P^{i_1} = P^{i_2} = \ldots = P^{i_{2k}}$ we get

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \beta_{i_1} = \ldots = \alpha_{i_{2k}} + \beta_{i_{2k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{i_1} b_{i_1 k} x_k,$$

where $b_{i_1 k} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, i_1$ and from the proof of Theorem 3.1 and since $k = 2s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(M(A)) &= \prod_{l=1}^{2k} (1 + \alpha_{j_l} + \beta_{j_l}) = (1 + \alpha_{j_l} + \beta_{j_l})^{2k} = \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{j_l - 1} b_{j_l r} x_r\right)^{2k} \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{j_l - 1} b_{j_l r} x_r^2\right)^{k} = \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{j_l - 1} b_{j_l r} x_r^2\right)^{2s} = \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{j_l - 1} b_{j_l r} x_r^4\right)^{s}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $w_2(M(A)) = 0$ and RBK manifold has the Spin-structure. □

Example 3.1. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a matrix of the manifold $M(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Id}_A = \big\{ & x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 + x_3^2, x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_4 + x_4^2, \\ & x_1 x_5 + x_2 x_5 + x_2 x_6 + x_4 x_5 + x_5^2, x_1 x_6 + x_2 x_6 + x_3 x_6 + x_4 x_6 + x_6^2 \big\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w(M(A)) = x_3^2 + x_4^2.$$

Since $x_3^2, x_4^2 \notin \mathrm{Id}_A$, so $w_2(M(A)) = x_3^2 + x_4^2 \neq 0$ and $M(A)$ has no Spin-structure.

Let A be the matrix of $2n-$dimensional RBK manifold $M(A)$ and let $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{kj_i}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq 2n$. Then from Theorem 1.1 and following Theorem 3.1 we get

Corollary 3.1. *Let A be a matrix of a $2n-$dimensional RBK manifold $M(A)$. The manifold $M(A)$ has the Spin-structure if or $S_k = 0 \mod 2$ or $S_k = 1 \mod 2$ and the column A^k has only entries 0, for all $1 \leq k \leq 2n$.*

Example 3.2. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a matrix of a manifold $M(A)$. Then $S_1 = S_2 = 2 \mod 2 = 0, S_3 = S_4 = 1 \mod 2 = 1, S_5 = S_6 = 0 \mod 2 = 0$ and there are entries equal to 1 in columns A^3 and A^4, so $M(A)$ has no Spin-structure.

References

[1] S. Choi, M. Masuda, S. Oum, *Classification of real Bott manifolds and acyclic digraphs*, arXiv:1006.4658

[2] S. Console, R. J. Miatello, J. P. Rossetti, *$\mathbb{Z}_2$-cohomology and spectral properties of flat manifolds of diagonal type*, J. Geom. Physics **60** (2010), 760 - 781

[3] L. Evens, Cohomology of Groups, Oxford University Press, 1992

[4] A. Gąsior, *Spin-structures on real Bott manifold*, J. Korean Math. Soc. http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.j160084

[5] A. Gąsior, A. Szczepański, *Tangent bundles of Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **70** (2013), 123 - 129

[6] A. Gąsior, A. Szczepański, *Flat manifolds with holonomy group Z_2^k of diagonal type*, Osaka J. Math. **51** (2014), 1015 - 1025

[7] M. Hałenda, *Complex Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata, DOI 10.1007/s10711-016-0187-8

[8] G. Hiss, A. Szczepański, *Spin-structures on flat manifolds with cyclic holonomy*, Communications in Algebra, **36** (1) (2008), 11-22

[9] H. Ishida, *Symplectic real Bott manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **139** (8) (2011), 3009-3014

[10] Y. Kamishima, M. Masuda, *Cohomological rigidity of real Bott manifolds*, Alebr. & Geom. Topol. **9** (2009), 2479-2502

[11] R. Lutowski, N. Petrosyan, J. Popko, A. Szczepański, *Spin structures of oriented flat manifolds of diagonal type*, arXiv:1602.08585

[12] J. Popko, A. Szczepański, *Cohomological rigidity of oriented Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds*, Adv. Math., **302**, (2016), 1044 - 1068

[13] J. P. Rossetti, A. Szczepański, *Generalized Hantzsche-Wendt flat manifolds*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **21**, (2005), no.3, 1053 - 1070

[14] A. Szczepański, *Properties of generalized Hantzsche-Wendt groups*, J. Group Theory **12**, (2009), 761-769,

[15] A. Szczepański, Geometry of Crystallographic Groups, World Scientific, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, vol. 4, 2012.

Maria Curie-Skłodowska University,
Institute of Mathematics
pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
20-031 Lublin, Poland
E-mail: anna.gasior@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl